Patrick Cox
1451 Kingsway Ave
Port Coquitlam, BC
V3C 1S2

2021-02-28

Attn: David Eby, MLA
2909 W. Broadway St.
Vancouver, BC V6K 2G6

Re: Crown not seeking further probation for my refusal to take down the website

Dear Mr. Eby:

On 2021-02-02 Crown Counsel Chris Johnson stated in open court, on the record, that the Crown was not seeking another probation order against me - only a sentence - for the charge of breaching probation by refusing to take down the DESICAPUANO.com website.

Here's the problem that creates for the BCPS (and, by extension, for you since the BCPS is a division of the Ministry of Attorney General and you are the attorney general):
- If they DON'T seek another probation order requiring

me to take down the website then it will look, in the public's perception, like they acknowledge:

- They don't believe Capreano is being harmed by the website - because if they DID believe Capreano was being harmed they would HAVE TO do everything they could to cause that ongoing harm to stop - you know, what with Capreano being portrayed as a perfectly innocent, traumatized, tormented victim of me and all that, in the Canadian news media; in Justice Heather Holmes 2017 Reasons for Sentence; and in the Crown's numerous submissions in their numerous prosecutions of me.
  - But by admitting they know Capreano is not being harmed by the website, they are again admitting they know she must have committed extensive perjury at the 2017 trial, and that I am, therefore, not guilty of criminal harassment.

- Justice Holmes' Reasons for Sentence in the criminal harassment case is completely full of crap and that Holmes' attempts to portray Capreano as a tormented victim and me as a horribly evil monster is complete and utter nonsense.
  - If Holmes' Reasons for Sentence had even an ounce of reality to it then the BCPS would HAVE TO prosecute me for criminal harassment based on the current website - not just for breach of probation for

refusing to take it down - and they would HAVE TO, at least, seek some kind of court order requiring me to take down the website, regardless of whether or not they believed I would actually comply with the order - you know, "justice for the victim" and all that crap they like to spout for the media.

- I assume you've read Holmes' Reasons for Sentence. And yes, I intend to publish all the proof that Holmes' Reasons for Sentence was full of crap and that she did not actually believe a word of what she wrote. But that's a discussion for another time.

- They, and the court, and the entire BC justice system are all powerless to actually MAKE me take it down and to MAKE me stop exposing the ongoing corruption in the justice system which, incidentally, is 100%, completely, under your authority (you know, you being the AG and all).

- If they DO seek and obtain another probation order requiring me to take down the website I will continue to refuse to take it down and that will continue to prove, very publicly, that the BC and the Canadian justice systems are completely impotent in this matter; that even with all your resources you're unable to make one little person stop publishing one little website. And, obviously, that

makes the BCPS, the BC courts, and the BC justice system look like chumps.

- The more the BCPS prosecutes me for refusing to take down the website and the website stays online, the more people realize there's not actually anything illegal about the website. And if there's nothing illegal about the website, then what legitimate basis does the BCPS have for trying to force me to take it down?
- And, the more the BCPS prosecutes me and keeps me locked up over my refusal to take down the website, the more the public masses realize there's something rational and legitimate to my refusal, and the BC government's actions amount to nothing more than tyranny, oppression, and an attempt to try to force me to stop exposing their corruption.

So, the BCPS has two mutually exclusive options here - both of which will cause them to lose face, embarrass the BC and Canadian governments, result in an implied admission of wrongdoing, and contribute to bringing the system into disrepute. Have you noticed that this has happened in every single case they have brought against me over the past 5 years (in particular, you should have a look at my case, number 103555, out of Port Coquitlam).

By now you should have come to the only reasonable (and logical) conclusion, which is that the only way for the Ministry of Attorney General and Ministry of Justice to save face and to minimize the damage is to openly admit that my claims of corruption and misconduct are true, but to insist that the corruption and misconduct is not systemic and is limited to the cases against me and the handful of bad actors who have been involved in the investigations and prosecutions of those cases, and the judges who presided over those cases.

Feel free to share this letter with the BCPS. It's going to be published on the website anyway.

Thanks for your time.

Sincerely,

Patricia Fox