Patrick Fox
1451 Kingsway Ave
Port Coquitlam, BC
V3C 1S2

2022-10-30

Attn: David Eby
2909 W. Broadway
Vancouver, BC V6K 2G6

Re: R. v. Patrick Fox;
More updates regarding corruption, misconduct, and ineptitude in the BCPS and BC courts

Dear Mr. Eby:

First, let me congratulate you on becoming the Premier of BC and the leader of the BC NDP. This is also very beneficial for me because now I can truthfully say that not only did you go out of your way to ignore the corruption that was going on in the Ministry of Attorney General and Ministry of Justice while you were the Attorney General, but that you also carried on that tradition while you were the Premier and the leader of your party.

Second, I am aware you are no longer the Attorney General, but since you are already familiar with the background of these matters, and since you are now ABOVE the Attorney General it makes sense to continue dealing with you on this. I'm sure you will forward everything to the current AG; who will forward it to the BCPS; who will forward it to Patti Tomasson; who will forward it to David Leyton, Elliot Toll, et cetera, et cetera. And, of course, a copy will be published on the website.

So, very briefly, here are a few updates you might find interesting:

1. At my JR hearing, in the matter of 244069-10-BC, on 2022-06-15, Crown Counsel Adam Flanders admitted, on the record, that the BCPS believes I am engaging them in a game of chicken and that that is why they are prosecuting me, and that the BCPS must not be the one to blink. He also admitted, on the record, that because of the media coverage I've received over the past few years it would bring the administration of justice into disrepute if I were to be released (or if the BCPS were to back down). It's all there in the DARS

and the transcripts - which, of course, are going to be published if they haven't already.

Correct me if I'm wrong, but those are not really legitimate, or even legal, reasons to prosecute and imprison someone, are they? I mean, it seems to me that Mr. Flanders is, essentially, saying the BCPS is prosecuting and imprisoning me to save face because I made them look bad.

Very curiously, at the continuation of the JIR hearing, on 2022-06-24, when I mentioned Mr. Flanders' comment about me engaging them in a game of chicken Judge Gordon became very angry with me and sternly insisted Flanders never said it. But again, it's right there in the transcripts.

7. At my 525 hearing in the BCSC, on 2022-09-29, Justice Wedge denied my application for release but entered an order that my trial date, which as of that time was scheduled for 2023-01-23, be expedited. Then, at an appearance in the BCPC on 2022-10-11, Crown Counsel stated, on the record, that they have no intention of complying with Justice Wedge's order.

So, let's see if I understand things correctly. The BCPS is prosecuting and imprisoning me on an allegation that I failed to comply with a court order (i.e. a probation order). And they've now done so four times (plus the current charge which is the fifth), and I've spent over three years in jail on those allegations. But it is perfectly acceptable for the prosecutors to brazenly refuse to comply with court orders and absolutely nothing happens to them for doing so. Am I understanding that correctly?

3. At my 525 hearing on 2022-~~09~~-29, Crown Counsel Mr. Mulligan reiterated the Crown's incessant claim that I was born in Canada, not in the US; and that I am a Canadian citizen, not a US citizen. I pointed out that my US birth certificate was filed as an exhibit in the trial in the index offense (the criminal harassment trial before Justice Holmes, BCSC 271789), and that the US birth certificate proves I was born in the US and if I was born in the US then I am a US citizen. Mulligan insisted that my claim that my birth certificate was an exhibit in the criminal harassment trial was a "bald faced lie". I believe he went on to say Justice Holmes would not have made the findings she had if

that were the case. I then pointed out the trial before Justice Holmes occurred in the same court house as the current 525 hearing and that the Crown and Justice Wedge both had direct and unlimited access to those exhibits - they could easily verify whether I am lying or telling the truth. I then pointed out that over the past six years the Crown has accused me, many times, of lying but that in every instance I have always been able to prove, with actual, physical evidence, that I was, in fact, telling the truth and that it was the Crown who was lying.

When Justice Wedge read her Reasons for Judgment, denying my request for release, she was very hostile toward me and adamantly insisted I am <u>not</u> a US citizen. However, she made no mention of my birth certificate or whether or not it had been filed as an exhibit in the criminal harassment trial.

The next day, 2022-09-30, I sent a letter to the BCSC Registry, requesting a copy of the Exhibit List from the trial and sentencing in the under offense. On 2022-10-28 I received the exhibit list. On page 2 of the list, Exhibit Number 2 at trial, submitted by the prosecution (not by me), the Description reads:

3 page document; first page is a Bureau of Vital Statistics Certificate of Birth State File Number: 109-1973-088300 for Patrick ~~Bot~~; Date of Birth: November 24, 1973; ...

And again, I point out that was submitted as an exhibit by the Crown (Mark Myhre), NOT by me.

So, again I ask you Mr. Eby, why would your prosecutors and judges insist I am lying when it is so easy for me to prove (and to publish) that it is actually THEY who are lying? I assume, as the AG and/or the Premier of the Province, that you have access to the BCSC case records and can verify I'm telling the truth.

4. You may notice, at the end of the aforementioned Exhibit List, Exhibit 1 for the application which was heard on 2019-07-06, makes a reference to a "previous Canadian passport". The prosecutors and judges, including Justice Holmes, have been insisting the fact that I was issued a Canadian passport proves I am a Canadian citizen. However, the document in question is NOT (EPTD) a passport - it is an Emergency Travel Document issued in response to a request by ICE (US Immigration and Customs

Enforcement), NOT by me, in order to facilitate my deportation from the US to Canada, by ICE. This should have been obvious from the fact that it was issued while I was in ICE custody; it was issued to and sent to ICE, not to me; it was only valid for (I think) 30 days (if memory serves), not for 5 years as passports are. The fact that the prosecutors and judges keep calling that ETD a passport does not make it a passport.

5. In one of my current appeals (BCCA no CA47391), I have caught your friend Chris Johnson, who you may recall was the prosecutor in three of the cases against me, lying in his sworn affidavit, regarding matters while he was acting as an agent of the Crown / BCPS. I do believe that constitutes perjury. Feel free to confirm this with Mr. Johnson or with Crown Counsel David Layton.

6. There have also been a number other interesting issues I have raised recently in some of my appeals. For example, how is it that the Crown and the judge can say in one trial (244069-6-B / CA46979) that a given probation condition means one thing, but then in subsequent trials (244069-7-B / CA47391; 244069-8-B / CA48145)

claim and argue that that same condition has a completely contrary meaning. What an amazingly crazy concept of justice you people have here. Again, feel free to speak with Mr. Layton about this. Or you can read my factum for CA48145. Or you can just continue ignoring all of this until it all ends up on the internet again, then you can have me arrested again for again exposing the corruption, misconduct, and ineptitude in the justice system ... again.

7. And finally, Mr. Eby, how is it possible that it has not occurred to you or to a single person in the BCPS, or to any of the judges who have been involved in the prosecutions against me, that what all of you have been insisting is defiance on my part might actually be very deliberate and strategic efforts to antagonize all of you to ensure you continue to prosecute me so I can continue to use those prosecutions to compile as much evidence as possible to support my claims of corruption, misconduct, and ineptitude? I mean, I did clearly and unequivocally state to Mark Myhre in July 2016 that one of my objectives was to bring as much embarassment and humiliation on the police and the BCPS as possible; and I openly admitted that that was exactly what I was doing, when

I testified at my trial in 244069-5-BC; and I have repeatedly stated in court, on the record, to the police, and in the news media ... and, of course, on the internet ... that it is my intention to bring the system into disrepute by exposing as much corruption and misconduct as I can.

Thank you for your time. I look forward to you continuing to do absolutely nothing about any of this.

Sincerely,

Patrick Fox